

LSU System responds to FHL misstatements

straight. Excerpts follow.

LSU facility. The FHL part" of the district. correspondence states that the * The FHL misstates its charge academic medical center.

districts." According to the since 2006. It should be noted that

s I'm sure you are aware, Programmatic Environmental Lthe LSU-VA hospital Assessment conducted by federal the FHL assemble a team to assist in project has been in the news this agencies in conjunction with the the state's negotiations with FEMA week. In light of the repeated • VA/LSU Hospital projects, the misstatements of a small group *location of the LSU Hospital will of activists, I thought you "require the demolition of only 42 of should see the System's the approximately 4,200 properties response to their letter to I in the Mid City Historic District, or Congress. Dr. Fred Cerise, Vice about 1% of the properties in the President for Health Affairs and • district. Interestingly, during the Medical Education, wrote a environmental review process, letter to the Louisiana several blocks of the new LSU delegation to set the record hospital location were recommended • for removal from the historic district The Foundation for (which is a federal historic district, Historical Louisiana (FHL) and is not a locally designated exaggerates the number of district.) One percent of the properties needed for the properties is simply not a "significant

LSU hospital "requires the from the Louisiana Legislature. expropriation of the property The FHL asserts that it "was charged of hundreds of homeowners • by the 2006 Louisiana Legislature to and small business owners in commission a comprehensive the Lower Mid City *Feasibility Study of Charity Hospital Neighborhood of New "in New Orleans." A resolution, not Orleans." Of the 58 residential legislation, was adopted by the properties, 27 are vacant, Louisiana Legislature that asked the leaving 31 occupied residences • FHL to perform one simple, primary which will be affected. Only 27 * task, which was to determine commercial properties in this "whether the first three floors of the area are occupied. There are a Charity Hospital building could be total of 58 occupied residential used as an interim healthcare facility and commercial parcels within while permanent replacement the site for the new LSU • facilities were being constructed. The study was not completed until the The FHL letter exaggerates end of the summer of 2008. It readily the new hospital's effect on • became apparent that the study itself the Mid City Historic • was no longer timely, almost three District. The FHL letter goes • years after Hurricane Katrina, and on to claim that the "project will " two years after the study was result in the demolition of a requested, since LSU was already significant part of one of the providing interim hospital services at city's most important historic • the Interim LSU Public Hospital

• the resolution also requested that • to substantiate the extent of damage to the Charity facility, but this was not part of the FHLcommissioned report. The FHL attempts to portray its study as the • product of a legislative mandate, when that is not the case.

The FHL report's findings and conclusions have been refuted. The FHL asserts that the existing Charity Hospital building is structurally sound and can be rebuilt less expensively than • building new facilities. The FHL correspondence leads one to believe that the conclusions of their • consultant are uncontradicted. • Again, this is simply not the case. The Division of Administration, Office of Facility Planning and Control, which is charged with the construction and maintenance of state buildings, prepared an • exhaustive rebuttal to the conclusions of the FHL consultant. The state's experts determined that it would be cheaper, quicker, and in • the state's best interests to build new • facilities, and essentially refuted the • conclusions of the FHL consultant.

The FHL's description of the area, the number of residents and • businesses purported by the FHL to • be affected, the FHL's selfdescribed mandate, and the conclusions of the FHL consultant are inaccurate. The goals and objectives of LSU are the construction of the best possible • healthcare and teaching facilities for the citizens and students of the State of Louisiana.