# **LSU Health Sciences Center – New Orleans**

FACULTY EVALUATION SUPPLEMENTAL RATING FORM

#### **Faculty Evaluation Form**

\*\* FACULTY EVALUATION SUPPLEMENTAL RATING FORM\*\*

## Evaluation Period: 1/1/2023 TO 12/31/2023

Form Note: Adobe Acrobat is required to complete this form. Do Not use an Internet browser to complete as this may impact form calculations.

Faculty Name and Email	Evaluation Ratings							
	2 = Needs Improvement (M 3 = Successful / Meets Expe 4 = Exceeds Expectations (N	Unsatisfactory (Does not meet expectations) Needs Improvement (Meets some but not all expectations) Successful / Meets Expectations Exceeds Expectations (Meets all and exceeded some expectations)						
neviewer/ Evaluator Hume	5 = Outstanding (Consistently exceeds <u>all</u> expectations)							
	Self-Evaluation?							
Click here if you are completing a self-evaluation.				7				
nstructions: Assign weights to each section and rate per	formance for each	crite	eria.					
Research and Scholarship:% of overall jo	<b>b duties</b> (Faculty	Han	dbool	k 6.4)				
· ——		/A	1	2	3	4	5	
Quality and quantity of scholarship								
2. Quality of research and inquiry								
3. Productivity in grant and contract writing for external	al funding							
Summary Rating: Calculated as the average of all rated applica	ble costicus suiteuis							
Caroniacea as the average of an ratea applica								
Comments:								
Comments:								
Comments:								
Comments:								
Comments:								
	<b>b duties</b> (Faculty I		lbook	6.4)				
	<b>b duties</b> (Faculty I	Hand /A	dbook 1	6.4) <b>2</b>	3	4	5	
	<b>b duties</b> (Faculty I				3	4	5	
Teaching and Mentorship:% of overall job  1. Quantity of teaching and/or mentorship	<b>b duties</b> (Faculty I				3	4	5	
Feaching and Mentorship:% of overall job	<b>b duties</b> (Faculty I				3	4	5	
Teaching and Mentorship:% of overall job  1. Quantity of teaching and/or mentorship	b duties (Faculty I				3	4	5	
Teaching and Mentorship:% of overall job  1. Quantity of teaching and/or mentorship  2. Quality of teaching and/or mentorship	b duties (Faculty I				3	4	5	
Teaching and Mentorship:% of overall jol  1. Quantity of teaching and/or mentorship  2. Quality of teaching and/or mentorship  3. Development and implementation of innovations in  Summary Rating: Calculated as the average of all rated application.	b duties (Faculty I				3	4	5	
Teaching and Mentorship:% of overall jobs.  1. Quantity of teaching and/or mentorship.  2. Quality of teaching and/or mentorship.  3. Development and implementation of innovations in.	b duties (Faculty I				3	4	Ę	

Service and Administration:% of overall job duties (F	N/A	1	2	3	4	5
Quantity and quality of clinical service	IV/A	_		3		
Impact of departmental, school or HSC service						
3. Leadership effectiveness						
<b>Summary Rating:</b> Calculated as the average of all rated applicable section crite	ria.					
Comments:						
Collaboration: 10% of overall job duties						
conaboration: 10/0 or overall job daties	N/A	1	2	3	4	5
1. Follows directions						
2 Factors toomwark						
2. Fosters teamwork						
Accepts responsibility for group projects						
Company Detiner Calculated with a super of all and a subject to the						
<b>Summary Rating:</b> Calculated as the average of all rated applicable section crite	ria.					
Comments:						
Communication: 10% of overall job duties						
	N/A	1	2	3	4	5
Clear and tactful communication style						
Communicates efficiently and effectively						
z. communicates emiliently and encourter,						
3. Respectful and courteous to colleagues, staff and learners						
Summary Rating: Calculated as the average of all rated applicable section crite	ria.					
Commonts						
Comments:						

## Integrity: 10% of overall job duties

	N/A	1	2	3	4	5
1. Compliant with policies, procedures, and applicable regulations						
2. Dependable						
3. Fosters a culture of trust and respect						
Summary Rating: Calculated as the average of all rated applicable section criteria	١.					
Comments:						

#### **OVERALL EVALUATION RATING**

**Overall Evaluation Rating Calculator:** Corresponding Section Summary Ratings are transferred to the Overall Evaluation Rating Calculator, then multiplied by the % weight assigned to the section. The total Weighted Score Rating for all sections determines the Overall Evaluation Rating.

Section	% Weight Assigned to Section		Section Summary Rating		Weighted Rating
Research and Scholarship		X		=	
Teaching and Mentorship		X		=	
Service and Administration		Х		=	
Collaboration and Teamwork	0.10	Х		=	
Communication	0.10	Х		=	
Integrity	0.10	х		=	
Overall Evaluation Rating	Total weight must be 100%				

#### **Overall Rating Scale & Overall Rating Category**

4.50 - 5.00	Outstanding
3.50 – 4.49	Exceeds Expectations
2.50 - 3.49	Successful
1.50 - 2.49	Needs Improvement
1.00 - 1.49	Unsatisfactory

#### **SIGNATURES** – This appraisal has been discussed by the undersigned and a copy given to the employee.

\*\*Signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement but simply that the evaluation has been discussed.

REVIEWER SIGNATURE:	I have discussed with my employee the performance evaluation ratings enclosed and the performance expectations for him/her during the upcoming rating period.
EMPLOYEE SIGNATURE	My manager has discussed with me the performance evaluation ratings enclosed on which I have been rated and the desired performance expectations for the upcoming rating period.
2 <sup>nd</sup> Level Reviewer SIGNATURE	In support of fair and equitable evaluations, a 2 <sup>nd</sup> Level Reviewer will be required for any OVERALL RATING of (5) Outstanding, or (1) Unsatisfactory. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Level Reviewers should evaluate the completed form ensuring sufficient documentation/comments have been included to warrant the overall rating.